

A Digital Twin Approach For Battery Management Systems For LiFePO₄ Batteries

roger painter¹, Ranganathan Parthasarathy¹, Lin Li¹, Irucka Embry², Lonnie Sharpe³, S. Keith Hargrove⁴

¹Department of Civil Engineering, Tennessee State University, Nashville, TN 37209, USA; rpainter@tnstate.edu;

²EcoC²S, Nashville, TN 37206, USA; iembry@my.tnstate.edu

³Department of Mechanical Engineering, Tennessee State University, Nashville, TN 37209, USA; sharpe@coe.tsuniv.edu

⁴Tuskegee University, Tuskegee, AL 36088, USA; skhargrove@gmail.com

Abstract

A Digital Twin Approach for Battery Management Systems for LiFePO₄ Batteries

Roger Painter^{1,5}, Ranganathan Parthasarathy¹, Lin Li¹, Irucka Embry², Lonnie Sharpe³ and S. Keith Hargrove⁴

¹ Department of Civil Engineering, Tennessee State University, Nashville, TN 37209, USA; rpainter@tnstate.edu; rparthas@tnstate.edu; lli1@tnstate.edu

² EcoC²S, Nashville, TN 37206, USA; iembry@my.tnstate.edu

³ Department of Mechanical Engineering, Tennessee State University, Nashville, TN 37209, USA;

sharpe@coe.tsuniv.edu

⁴ Tuskegee University, Tuskegee, AL 36088, USA; skhargrove@gmail.com

⁵ Correspondence: rpainter@tnstate.edu

The emerging digital twin vision for computer simulation encompasses the entire lifecycle of real-world applications and products. The digital twin concept enables the integration of complex multiphysics and multidimensional models and simpler reduced order models (ROM). The multiphysics models store current expert engineering knowledge that is accessible and reusable by non-experts at all stages of the products lifecycle via locally implemented or on-board ROM. Furthermore, the ROM can provide real time operational and control capabilities that are subject to verification and updating by the off board multiphysics model. Our approach to developing a digital twin simulation of lithium-ion batteries for electric vehicles utilized a dataset generated from the COMSOL® Multiphysics simulation of the Cahn-Hilliard equation for a single particle model (SPM) of a lithium iron phosphate (LiFePO₄) cathode. The SPM cannot simply be scaled up to bulk scale processes, so we took a machine learning (ML) approach to derive latent variables from the data. We relate the SPM simulation statistically to the battery cell voltage and temperature responses. Specifically, the plateauing effect of the battery's voltage response at higher ambient temperatures and the apparent diffusion-controlled behavior at lower temperatures are related by statistical inference to the SPM. The simulation dataset was first subjected to singular value decomposition (SVD) and principal component analysis (PCA) to identify latent variables and for model order reduction. The ROM was then developed by utilizing the reduced order simulation dataset and experimental data for an A123 Systems 26650 2.3 Ah cylindrical battery to train a self-normalizing neural network (SNN). Finally, the ROM was verified as an on-board battery management system (BMS) for ambient temperatures ranging from 253 to 298 K and discharge rates ranging from 1 C to 20.5 C.

Keywords: lithium-ion batteries; LIB; LiFePO₄; electric vehicles; Cahn-Hilliard; principal

Reference

References

1. Tian, J.; Xiong, R.; Shen, W. Electrode aging estimation and open circuit voltage reconstruction for lithium-ion batteries. *Energy Storage Mater.* 2021, 37, 283–295.
2. Tian, J.; Xiong, R.; Shen, W.; Lu, J. State-of-charge estimation of LiFePO₄ batteries in electric vehicles: A deep-learning enabled approach. *Appl. Energy* 2021, 291, 116812.
3. Etacheri, V.; Marom, R.; Elazari, R.; Salitra, G.; Aurbach, D. Challenges in the development of advanced Li-ion batteries: A review. *Energy Environ. Sci.* 2011, 4, 3243–3262.
4. Lu, L.; Han, X.; Li, J.; Hua, J.; Ouyang, M. A review on the key issues for lithium-ion battery management in electric vehicles. *J. Power Sources* 2013, 226, 272–288.
5. Dai, H.; Jiang, B.; Hu, X.; Lin, X.; Wei, X.; Pecht, M. Advanced battery management strategies for a sustainable energy future: Multilayer design concepts and research trends. *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.* 2021, 138, 110480.
6. Wang, Y.; Tian, J.; Sun, Z.; Wang, L.; Xu, R.; Li, M.; Chen, Z. A comprehensive review of battery modeling and state estimation approaches for advanced battery management systems. *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.* 2020, 131, 110015.
7. Scrosati, B.; Garche, J. Lithium Batteries: Status, Prospects and Future. *J. Power Sources* 2010, 195, 2419–2430.
8. Fergus, J. Recent Developments in Cathode Materials for Lithium Ion Batteries. *J. Power Sources* 2010, 195, 939–954.
9. Shim, J. Electrochemical analysis for cycle performance and capacity fading of a lithium-ion battery cycled at elevated temperature. *J. Power Sources* 2002, 112, 222–230.
10. Ning, G.; Popov, B. Cycle Life Modeling of Lithium-Ion Batteries. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* 2004, 151, A1584.
11. Spotnitz, R.; Franklin, J. Abuse behavior of high-power, lithium-ion cells. *J. Power Sources* 2003, 113, 81–100.
12. Wang, Y.; Dan, D.; Zhang, Y.; Qian, Y.; Panchal, S.; Fowler, M.; Li, W.; Tran, M.; Xie, Y. A novel heat dissipation structure based on flat heat pipe for battery thermal management system. *Int. J. Energy Res.* 2022, 46, 15961–15980.
13. Yalçın, S.; Panchal, S.; Herdem, M.-S. A CNN-ABC model for estimation and optimization of heat generation rate and voltage distributions of lithium-ion batteries for electric vehicles. *Int. J. Heat Mass Transf.* 2022, 199, 123486.
14. Mevawalla, A.; Shabeer, Y.; Tran, M.K.; Panchal, S.; Fowler, M.; Fraser, R. Thermal Modelling Utilizing Multiple Experimentally Measurable Parameters. *Batteries* 2022, 8, 147.
15. Guo, M.; Sikha, G.; White, R. Single-Particle Model for A Lithium-Ion Cell: Thermal Behavior. *Electrochem. Soc.* 2011, 158, A122; Erratum in: *J. Electrochem. Soc.* 2011, 158, S11.
16. Cai, L.; White, R. Mathematical Modeling of a Lithium Ion Battery with Thermal Effects in COMSOL® Inc. Multiphysics (MP) Software. *J. Power Sources* 2011, 196, 5985–5989.
17. Krewer, U.; Roder, F.; Harinath, E.; Braatz, R.; Bedurftig, B.; Findeisen, R. Review—Dynamic Models of Li-Ion Batteries for Diagnosis and Operation: A Review and Perspective. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* 2018, 165, A3656–A3673.
18. Li, Y.; Wei, Z.; Xiong, B.; Vilathgamuwa, D. Adaptive ensemble-based electrochemical–thermal degradation state estimation of lithium-ion batteries. *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron.* 2021, 69, 6984–6996.
19. Li, Y.; Karunathilake, D.; Vilathgamuwa, D.M.; Mishra, Y.; Farrell, T.W.; Zou, C. Model Order Reduction Techniques for Physics-Based Lithium-Ion Battery Management. *IEEE Ind. Electron. Mag.* 2022, 16, 36–51.
20. Sakar, S.; Halim, Z.; El-Halwagi, M.; Khan, F. Electrochemical models: Methods and applications for safer lithium-ion battery operation. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* 2022, 169, 100501.

21. Yannliaw, B. Modeling of lithium ion cells? A simple equivalent-circuit model approach. *Solid State Ion.* 2004, 175, 835–839.
22. Xie, Y.; Li, W.; Hu, X.; Tran, M.K.; Panchal, S.; Fowler, M.; Liu, K. Co-estimation of SOC and three dimensional SOT for lithium-ion batteries based on distributed spatial-temporal online correction. *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron.* 2022, 70, 5937–5948.
23. Sekhar, R.; Shah, P.; Panchal, S.; Fowler, M.; Fraser, R. Distance to empty soft sensor for ford escape electric vehicle. *Results Control. Optim.* 2022, 9, 100168.
24. Verbrugge, M. Adaptive, multi-parameter battery state estimator with optimized time-weighting factors. *J. Appl. Electrochem.* 2007, 37, 605–616.
25. Hu, Y.; Yurkovich, S.; Guezennec, Y.; Yurkovich, B. Electro-thermal battery model identification for automotive applications. *J. Power Sources* 2011, 196, 449–457.
26. Perez, H.E.; Siegel, J.B.; Lin, X.; Stefanopoulou, A.G.; Ding, Y.; Castanier, M.P. Parameterization and Validation of an Inte-grated Electro-Thermal Cylindrical LFP Battery Model. In Volume 3: Renewable Energy Systems; Robotics; Robust Control; Single Track Vehicle Dynamics and Control; Stochastic Models, Control and Algorithms in Robotics; Structure Dynamics and Smart Structures; ASME 2012 5th Annual Dynamic Systems and Control Conference, Fort Lauderdale, Florida, USA, 2012.
27. Torabi, F.; Ahmadi, P. *Simulation Of Battery Systems*; Academic Press: London, UK, 2019.
28. Barreras, J.; Schaltz, E.; Andreasen, S. Datasheet-Based Modeling of Li-Ion Batteries. In Proceedings of the 2012 IEEE Vehicle Power and Propulsion Conference, Seoul, Republic of Korea, 9–12 October 2012.
29. Zou, Z.; Xu, J.; Mi, C.; Cao, B.; Chen, Z. Evaluation of Model Based State of Charge Estimation Methods for Lithium-Ion Batteries. *Energies* 2014, 7, 5065–5082.
30. Wei, Z.; Hu, J.; He, H.; Yu, Y.; Marco, J. Embedded distributed temperature sensing enabled multistate joint observation of smart lithium-ion battery. *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron.* 2022, 70, 555–565.
31. Hu, X.; Sun, F.; Zou, Y. Estimation of state of charge of a lithium-ion battery pack for electric vehicles using an adaptive Luenberger observer. *Energies* 2010, 3, 1586–1603.
32. Kim, I. The novel state of charge estimation method for lithium battery using sliding mode observer. *J. Power Sources* 2006, 163, 584–590.
33. Sun, F.; Xiong, R.; He, H.; Li, W.; Aussems, J. Model-based dynamic multi-parameter method for peak power estimation of lithium-ion batteries. *Appl. Energy* 2012, 96, 378–386.
34. Xu, J.; Mi, C.; Cao, B.; Deng, J.; Chen, Z.; Li, S. The state of charge estimation of lithium-ion batteries based on a proportional integral observer. *IEEE Trans. Veh. Technol.* 2014, 63, 1614–1621.
35. Wei, Z.; Dong, G.; Zhang, X.; Pou, J.; Quan, Z.; He, H. Noise-immune model identification and state-of-charge estimation for lithium-ion battery using bilinear parameterization. *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron.* 2021, 68, 312–323 ,DOI: 10.1109/TIE.2019.2962429.
36. Dong, G.; Wei, J.; Zhang, C.; Chen, Z. Online state of charge estimation and open circuit voltage hysteresis modeling of LiFePO₄ battery using invariant imbedding method. *Appl. Energy* 2016, 162, 163–171.
37. Zhang, Y.; Xiong, R.; He, H.; Shen, W. A lithium-ion battery pack state of charge and state of energy estimation algorithms using a hardware-in the-loop validation. *IEEE Trans. Power Electron.* 2017, 32, 4421–4431.
38. Padhi, A.K. Phospho-olivines as Positive-Electrode Materials for Rechargeable Lithium Batteries. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* 1997, 144, 1188.
39. Yamada, A.; Chung, S.C.; Hinokuma, K. ChemInform Abstract: Optimized LiFePO₄ for Lithium Battery Cathodes. *ChemInform* 2010, 32.
40. Huang, H.; Yin, S.; Nazar, L.F. Approaching Theoretical Capacity of LiFePO₄ at Room Temperature at High Rates. *Electrochem. Solid-State Lett.* 2001, 4, A170.
41. Ravet, N.; Chouinard, Y.; Magnan, J.; Besner, S.; Gauthier, M.; Armand, M. Electroactivity of natural and synthetic triphylite. *J. Power Sources* 2001, 97–98, 503–507.
42. Chung, S.; Bloking, J.T.; Chiang, Y. Electronically conductive phospho-olivines as lithium

- storage electrodes. *Nat. Mater.* 2002, 1, 123–128.
43. Johns, P.A.; Roberts, M.R.; Wakizaka, Y.; Sanders, J.H.; Owen, J.R. How the electrolyte limits fast discharge in nanostructured batteries and supercapacitors. *Electrochem. Commun.* 2009, 11, 2089–2092.
 44. Newman, J.; Tiedemann, W. Porous-Electrode Theory with Battery Applications. *AIChE J.* 1975, 21, 25–41.
 45. Doyle, M. Modeling of Galvanostatic Charge and Discharge of the Lithium/Polymer/Insertion Cell. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* 1993, 140, 1526.
 46. Bazant, M. Theory of Chemical Kinetics and Charge Transfer Based on Nonequilibrium Thermodynamics. *Acc. Chem. Res.* 2013, 46, 1144–1160.
 47. Singh, G.; Ceder; Bazant, M. Intercalation dynamics in rechargeable battery materials: General theory and phase-transformation waves in LiFePO₄. *Electrochim. Acta* 2008, 53, 7599–7613.
 48. Painter, R.; Embry, I.; Sharpe, L.; Hargrove, S.K. A Reduced Order Thermal Model for Lithium Ion Batteries Derived from the Cahn-Hilliard Equation. In Proceedings of the 2020 COMSOL® Conference, Boston, MA, USA, 7–8 October 2020.
 49. Bai, P.; Cogswell, D.; Bazant, M.Z. Suppression of phase separation in LiFePO₄ nanoparticles during battery discharge. *Nano Lett.* 2011, 11, 4890–4896.
 50. Malik, R.; Abdellahi, A.; Ceder, G. A Critical Review of the Li Insertion Mechanisms in LiFePO₄ Electrodes. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* 2013, 160, A3179–A3197.
 51. Chen, L. Phase-Field Models for Microstructure Evolution, *Annu. Rev. Mater. Res.* 2002, 32, 113–140.
 52. Yuan, C.; Gao, X.; Jia, Y.; Zhang, W.; Wu, Q.; Xu, J. Coupled crack propagation and dendrite growth in solid electrolyte of all-solid-state battery, *Nano Energy* 2021, 86, 106057.
 53. Yuan, C.; Lu, W.; Xu, J. Unlocking the electrochemical–mechanical coupling behaviors of dendrite growth and crack prop-agation in all-solid-state batteries. *Adv. Energy Mater.* 2021, 11, 2101807.
 54. Zeng, Y.; Bazant, M. Cahn-Hilliard Reaction Model for Isotropic Li-Ion Battery Particles. *MRS Proc.* 2013, 1542, 0201.
 55. Painter, R.; Berryhill, B.; Sharpe, L.; Hargrove, S.K. A Single Particle Thermal Model for Lithium Ion Batteries. In Proceedings of the 2012 COMSOL® Conference, Boston, MA, USA, 3–5 October 2020.
 56. Painter, R.; Sharpe, L.; Hargrove, S.K. A Phase Field Model for Lithium Batteries. In Proceedings of the 2012 COMSOL® Conference, Boston, MA, USA, 3–5 October 2020.
 57. Sullivan UV. Published 2019. Available online: <http://www.sullivanuv.com/wp-content/uploads/2014/06/A123-Cell-Datasheet-2008-08.pdf> (accessed on 1 December 2019).
 58. Maleki, H. Thermal Properties of Lithium-Ion Battery and Components. *J Electrochem Soc.* 1999, 146, 947.
 59. Chen, Y. Thermal Analysis of Lithium-Ion Batteries. *J Electrochem Soc.* 1996, 143, 2708.
 60. Onda, K.; Ohshima, T.; Nakayama, M.; Fukuda, K.; Araki, T. Thermal behavior of small lithium-ion battery during rapid charge and discharge cycles. *J Power Sources.* 2006, 158, 535–542.
 61. Thomas, K.; Newman, J. Thermal Modeling of Porous Insertion Electrodes. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* 2003, 150, A176.
 62. Forgez, C.; Vinh Do, D.; Friedrich, G.; Morcrette, M.; Delacourt, C. Thermal modeling of a cylindrical LiFePO₄/graphite lithium-ion battery. *J. Power Sources* 2010, 195, 2961–2968.
 63. Youngki, K. Power Capability Estimation Accounting for Thermal and Electrical Constraints for Lithium-Ion Batteries. Ph.D. Thesis, University of Michigan, Midsize city, MI, USA, 2014.
 64. Singh, S.;Weeber, M.;Birke, K. Implementation of digital twin: Approach, Functionalities and Benefits, Batteries, MDPI, 2021.
 65. Available online: <https://docplayer.net/20772679-Managing-a123-cells-with-fma-cell-balancing-technologies.html> (accessed on 1 March 2022).
 66. Pivelait, B.; Rentel, C.; Plett, G.; Marcel, M.; Carmen, D. An advanced battery management

system for lithium-ion batteries, 2011 NDIA Ground Vehicle Systems Engineering and Technology Symposium; Power and Mobility (P&M) Mini-Symposium August 9-11 Dearborn Michigan.

Figures used in the abstract




Figure 1 : Figure 1 : A Digital Twin Approach for Battery Management Systems for LiFePO4 Batteries

Governing Equations and Boundary Conditions

The diffusional chemical potential based on the regular solution model (Note overbar signifies dimensionless quantities)

$$\bar{\mu} = -k_b T \ln \left[\frac{c}{1-c} \right] + \frac{\bar{H}(c_0 - c)}{c_0} - \frac{K V_c}{c_m} p^2 c. \quad (1)$$

The basic equation of evolution for mass conservation is

$$\frac{\partial c}{\partial t} = -\bar{\nabla} \cdot \bar{q}$$

The ion flux is driven by the gradient of the diffusional chemical potential as

$$\bar{q} = \frac{-D_{eff}(c_0 - c)}{v_m T c_m} \bar{\nabla} \bar{\mu}.$$

Voltage enters the Cahn-Hilliard SPM through Butler-Volmer kinetics obtained from transition state theory for concentrated solutions as

$$i = i_0 [\exp(-\alpha \bar{\eta}) i - \exp((1 - \alpha) \bar{\eta})].$$

where α is the electron transfer symmetry factor, $\eta = \Delta\phi - \Delta\phi_{eq}$ is the surface overpotential because of the activation polarization, $\Delta\phi$ is the local voltage drop across the interface, and $\Delta\phi_{eq}$ is the Nernst equilibrium voltage.

The skin temperature of the battery cell was given by

$$M c_p \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} = I \left(V_{oc} - T \frac{\partial V_{oc}}{\partial T} \right) - IV + q_{mr} \quad (2)$$

The initial temperature for Equation 2 is the ambient temperature modeled. Equation 1 is fourth order in concentration and the boundary conditions are

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{q}(0, t) &= 0, \\ \bar{n} \cdot \bar{q}(1, t) &= -\bar{q}, \\ \bar{n} \cdot \bar{\nabla} c(0, t) &= 0, \\ \bar{n} \cdot \bar{\nabla} c(1, t) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Figure 2 : Figure 2 : A Digital Twin Approach for Battery Management Systems for LiFePO4

Batteries